The Ministry of Infrastructure and Transport has noted, in the peripheral maritime offices, the existence of guidelines and practices contrasting the interpretation and effects of art. 27, comma 6, l.d. 18 July 2005 n. 171 and to the limitations of the ordinance of the Maritime Authority. In particular, in order to adopt an interpretative circular, the Ministry has considered appropriate to seek the opinion of the State Council regarding two specific issues. The first issue is whether or not the scope of the rule is to be interpreted as a limitation of recreational boat chartering activities to the surrounding water space in which the operators of chartering or rental activities submit application. With thorough arguments, the State Council expresses its negative opinion on the issue in the sense that the regulation does not restrict the use of recreational boats only to the surrounding water space. The second issue is if, independently from the general scope of the above mentioned art. 27, the ordinance provided for in comma 6 might set out: (1) an operational limitation in the surrounding maritime space; (2) limitation as to the number of persons which can be transported; (3) the undertaking rental activities with a professional title and not with only a boating license. The State Council expresses positive opinion on points 1 and 2 of the second issue, specifying that the ordinance which establishes limitation to the boat's operation or the number of persons which can be transported, must however be duly substantiated. The State Council considers that, in order to provide an answer to the last point, it is necessary that such issue be specified in more detail by the Ministry, given the variety and number of professional maritime titles, so to avoid, the imposition of undue or different professional titles in the peripheral maritime offices, on equal terms.